Senate Engrossed House Bill

FILED

State of Arizona House of Representatives Forty-seventh Legislature Second Regular Session 2006 JANICE K. BREWER SECRETARY OF STATE

CHAPTER 234

HOUSE BILL 2440

AN ACT

AMENDING TITLE 12, CHAPTER 6. ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY ADDING ARTICLE 15: RELATING TO LAWSUITS.

(TEXT OF BILL BEGINS ON NEXT PAGE)

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Arizona:

Section 1. Title 12, chapter 6, Arizona Revised Statutes, is amended by adding article 15, to read:

ARTICLE 15. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN GOVERNMENT

12-751. <u>Definitions</u>

IN THIS ARTICLE, UNLESS THE CONTEXT OTHERWISE REQUIRES:

- 1. "EXERCISE OF THE RIGHT OF PETITION" MEANS ANY WRITTEN OR ORAL STATEMENT THAT FALLS WITHIN THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION OF FREE SPEECH AND THAT IS MADE AS PART OF AN INITIATIVE, REFERENDUM OR RECALL EFFORT OR THAT IS ALL OF THE FOLLOWING:
- (a) MADE BEFORE OR SUBMITTED TO A LEGISLATIVE OR EXECUTIVE BODY OR ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL PROCEEDING.
- (b) MADE IN CONNECTION WITH AN ISSUE THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION OR REVIEW BY A LEGISLATIVE OR EXECUTIVE BODY OR ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL PROCEEDING.
- (c) MADE FOR THE PURPOSE OF INFLUENCING A GOVERNMENTAL ACTION. DECISION OR RESULT.
- 2. "GOVERNMENTAL PROCEEDING" MEANS ANY PROCEEDING, OTHER THAN A JUDICIAL PROCEEDING, BY AN OFFICER, OFFICIAL OR BODY OF THIS STATE AND ANY POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THIS STATE, INCLUDING BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS, OR BY AN OFFICER, OFFICIAL OR BODY OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.
- 3. "LEGAL ACTION" MEANS ANY ACTION, CLAIM, CROSS-CLAIM OR COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES THAT IS BASED ON THE DEFENDANT'S EXERCISE OF THE RIGHT OF PETITION.

12-752. <u>Strategic lawsuits against public participation; motion</u> to dismiss

- A. IN ANY LEGAL ACTION THAT INVOLVES A PARTY'S EXERCISE OF THE RIGHT OF PETITION, THE DEFENDING PARTY MAY FILE A MOTION TO DISMISS THE ACTION UNDER THIS SECTION. WHEN POSSIBLE, THE COURT SHALL GIVE CALENDAR PREFERENCE TO AN ACTION THAT IS BROUGHT UNDER THIS SUBSECTION AND SHALL CONDUCT AN EXPEDITED HEARING AFTER THE MOTION IS FILED WITH THE COURT AND NOTICE OF THE MOTION HAS BEEN SERVED AS PROVIDED BY COURT RULE.
- B. THE COURT SHALL GRANT THE MOTION UNLESS THE PARTY AGAINST WHOM THE MOTION IS MADE SHOWS THAT THE MOVING PARTY'S EXERCISE OF THE RIGHT OF PETITION DID NOT CONTAIN ANY REASONABLE FACTUAL SUPPORT OR ANY ARGUABLE BASIS IN LAW AND THAT THE MOVING PARTY'S ACTS CAUSED ACTUAL COMPENSABLE INJURY TO THE RESPONDING PARTY. IN MAKING ITS DETERMINATION, THE COURT SHALL CONSIDER THE PLEADINGS AND SUPPORTING AND OPPOSING AFFIDAVITS STATING FACTS ON WHICH THE LIABILITY OR DEFENSE IS BASED. AT THE REQUEST OF THE MOVING PARTY, THE COURT SHALL MAKE FINDINGS WHETHER THE LAWSUIT WAS BROUGHT TO DETER OR PREVENT THE MOVING PARTY FROM EXERCISING CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND IS THEREBY BROUGHT FOR AN IMPROPER PURPOSE, INCLUDING TO HARASS OR TO CAUSE UNNECESSARY DELAY OR NEEDLESS INCREASE IN THE COST OF LITIGATION. IF THE COURT FINDS THAT THE LAWSUIT WAS BROUGHT TO DETER OR PREVENT THE EXERCISE OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 1

OR OTHERWISE BROUGHT FOR AN IMPROPER PURPOSE. THE MOVING PARTY IS ENCOURAGED TO PURSUE ADDITIONAL SANCTIONS AS PROVIDED BY COURT RULE.

- C. THE MOTION TO DISMISS MAY BE FILED WITHIN NINETY DAYS AFTER THE SERVICE OF THE COMPLAINT OR, IN THE COURT'S DISCRETION, AT ANY LATER TIME ON TERMS THAT THE COURT DEEMS PROPER.
- D. IF THE COURT GRANTS THE MOTION TO DISMISS, THE COURT SHALL AWARD THE MOVING PARTY COSTS AND REASONABLE ATTORNEY FEES, INCLUDING THOSE INCURRED FOR THE MOTION. IF THE COURT FINDS THAT A MOTION TO DISMISS IS FRIVOLOUS OR SOLELY INTENDED TO DELAY, THE COURT SHALL AWARD COSTS AND REASONABLE ATTORNEY FEES TO THE PREVAILING PARTY ON THE MOTION. FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SUBSECTION, "COSTS" MEANS ALL COSTS THAT ARE REASONABLY INCURRED IN CONNECTION WITH A MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION AND INCLUDES FILING FEES, RECORD PREPARATION AND DOCUMENT COPYING FEES, DOCUMENTED TIME AWAY FROM EMPLOYMENT TO CONFER WITH COUNSEL OR ATTEND CASE RELATED PROCEEDINGS, EXPERT WITNESS FEES, TRAVEL EXPENSES AND ANY OTHER COSTS THAT THE COURT DEEMS APPROPRIATE.
 - E. THIS ARTICLE DOES NOT:
- 1. AFFECT, LIMIT OR PRECLUDE THE RIGHT OF THE MOVING PARTY TO ANY REMEDY OTHERWISE AUTHORIZED BY LAW.
- 2. APPLY TO AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION THAT IS BROUGHT IN THE NAME OF THIS STATE OR A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THIS STATE.
- 3. CREATE ANY PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES OR OTHERWISE AFFECT, LIMIT OR PRECLUDE ANY PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES AUTHORIZED BY LAW.
- 4. LIMIT OR PRECLUDE A LEGISLATIVE OR EXECUTIVE BODY OR A PUBLIC AGENCY FROM ENFORCING THE RULES OF PROCEDURE AND RULES OF ORDER OF THE BODY OR AGENCY.

Sec. 2. Legislative findings and declarations

- A. It is the policy of this state that the rights of citizens and organizations under the constitutions of the United States and this state to be involved and participate freely in the process of government shall be encouraged and safeguarded with great diligence. The information, reports, opinions, claims, arguments and other expressions that are provided by citizens and organizations are vital to effective law enforcement, the operation of government, the making of public policy and decisions and the continuation of representative democracy. The laws, courts and other agencies of this state and its political subdivisions shall provide the utmost protection for the free exercise of these petition, speech and association rights.
- B. The legislature finds that civil actions have been filed against citizens and organizations of this state as the result of the valid exercise of their constitutional rights of petition, speech and association. The threat of strategic lawsuits against public participation, personal liability and burdensome litigation costs significantly chill and diminish citizen participation in government, voluntary public service and the exercise of these important constitutional rights. The threat of strategic lawsuits

- 2 -

2

4

6 7

-8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16 against public participation further deprives government bodies of the free flow of ideas, information and opinions that are essential to carrying out their functions. This abuse of the judicial process can and has been used as a means of intimidating, harassing or punishing citizens and organizations for involving themselves in public affairs.

C. It is in the public interest and it is the purpose of this article to strike a balance between the rights of persons to file lawsuits for injury and the constitutional rights of persons of petition, speech and association, to protect and encourage public participation in government to the maximum extent allowed by law, to establish an efficient process for identification and adjudication of strategic lawsuits against public participation and to provide for costs and attorney fees.

Sec. 3. Emergency

This act is an emergency measure that is necessary to preserve the public peace, health or safety and is operative immediately as provided by law.

ARPROVED BY THE GOVERNOR APRIL 28, 2006.

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE APRIL 28, 2006.

- 3 -